

ARGUMENTS/REMARKS

Claims 12, 13, 26, and 27 were objected to, but would be allowed if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response:

- claim 1 was amended to include those limitations originally found in claim 12;
- claim 15 was amended to include those limitations originally found in claim 12;
- claim 20 was amended to include those limitations originally found in claims 24, 25, and 26; and
- claim 27 was amended to be rewritten in independent form.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein; and no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references. As the Applicants have overcome all substantive rejections given by the Examiner the Applicants contend that this Amendment, with the above discussion, overcomes the Examiner's rejections to the pending claims. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully request allowance of the application. If the Examiner is of the opinion that any issues regarding the status of the claims remain after this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned representative to expedite resolution of the matter. Finally, please charge any fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 502117.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 22917

By: *Indira Saladi*

Indira Saladi
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 45,759
Telephone: 847.576.6735
Fax No.: 847.576.0721

7
CM03526H